## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	١

SHERRY YALI LIU,

Plaintiff,

v.

KAISER PERMANENTE EMPLOYEES PENSION PLAN FOR THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-03109-AMO

ORDER GRANTING MINISTRATIVE MOTION TO BIFURCATE MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY **ADJUDICATION** 

Re: Dkt. No. 41

Before the Court is Defendants' Administrative Motion to Bifurcate Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint from Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Adjudication. Having read the parties' papers and carefully considered their arguments and the relevant legal authority, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion to bifurcate. In particular, the Court finds consideration of summary adjudication imprudent at this stage because Defendants represent that they require additional discovery to defend against Plaintiff's claims. See generally Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 56(d). The Court prefers to consider summary adjudication on a fuller record.

The Court accordingly orders that:

- (1) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint is bifurcated from Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Adjudication;
- (2) The opposition and reply briefs and hearing on Plaintiff's MSA are vacated;
- (3) The parties shall meet and confer on the briefing schedule and hearing date on

Rule 56(d) requires a showing "affidavit or declaration that, for specified reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition." Despite Defendants' failure to comply with this requirement, the Court finds it appropriate to permit Defendants' discovery to fully defend against a motion for summary judgment.

## Case 3:23-cv-03109-AMO Document 48 Filed 02/06/24 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
iia	12
liforn	13
of Califo	14
trict (	15
n Dis	16
rtheri	17
No	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

Plaintiff's MSA and include this proposed schedule in the joint case management	t
statement: and	

(4) The Court will set these dates at the case management conference or in a post-case management conference scheduling order.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2024

ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN United States District Judge